



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | FIL        | ING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/891,005            | 06/25/2001 |            | Michael Shawn Giffin | SNY-P4260               | 9424             |
| 24337                 | 7590       | 08/25/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| MILLER P              |            |            | NGUYEN, QUANG N      |                         |                  |
| 2500 DOCK<br>RALEIGH, |            | _          | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| 101221011,            | 1.0 2.00   |            |                      | 2141                    |                  |
|                       |            |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | J   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 09/891,005  | GIFFIN ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Quang N. Nguyen   | 2141   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Au  | ugust 2005.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <del>_</del>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar  |   | secution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-20,29 and 30</u> is/are pending in the a   | application   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20,29 and 30</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)   | -(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ,   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   | te   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20050802</u> .  | 5)  Notice of Informal Pa   | atent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2141

#### **Detailed Action**

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 08/02/2005. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 9-13 and 15-19 have been amended. Claims 21-28 have been cancelled. Claims 29-30 have been added as new claims. Claims 1-20 and 29-30 are presented for examination.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 9-10 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rhoads (US 6,311,214).
- 4. As to claim 1, Rhoads teaches a method, comprising:

storing a music file for a user representing a musical selection for a first user (at a predetermined location, a personal music library maintained by the user can be stored at a remote web site) (Rhoads, C46: L28-45);

mapping the first user to the music file (the personal music library maintained by the user can be stored at a remote web site protected with a user-set password and can be downloaded whenever it is convenient) (Rhoads, C46: L28-45);

mapping other users who wish to store the musical selection to the music file (the personal music library could be remotely sited and consolidated with the music libraries of many other users at a central location) (Rhoads, C46: L35-45);

receiving a request from any of the mapped users for playback of the music file (in response to the requests for playback, the music library can be downloaded whenever it is convenient) (Rhoads, C46: L28-34); and

transmitting the music file to the user that sent the request for playback using wireless transmission, as a streaming music file (the personal music library can be equipped with wireless capabilities adapted to provide music to the user's playback devices such as MP3 player by short-range wireless broadcast) (Rhoads, C46: L35-53).

# 5. As to claim 2, Rhoads teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving from the first user a request to store the music file; and wherein the storing is carried out as a response to the request to store the music file (receiving a user request to store the music file at the predetermined location) (Rhoads, C46: L24-34).

Art Unit: 2141

6. Claims 9-10 are corresponding storage medium claims of method claims 1-2;

therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

7. Claims 15-16 are corresponding data center claims of method claims 1-2;

therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 3-8, 11-14 17-20 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhoads, in view of Kurihara et al. (US 2002/0023101 A1), hereinafter referred as Kurihara.
- 10. As to claims 4-5, Rhoads teaches the method of claim 1, but does not explicitly teach charging each of the users mapped to the music file a fee for storage of the music file and for transmitting the music file to the user that sent the requests for playback.

In a related art, Kurihara teaches a content managing system and method, wherein each user pays the fee for the user area 18 of the customer file storage 13 so each user can <u>store the file of a new content to the user area 18</u>, delete the file of a content from the user area 18, move the file of a content stored in the user area 18, <u>and download the file of a content stored in the user area 18 to the user terminal unit 2</u> (Kurihara, paragraph [0044]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Rhoads and Kurihara to have the user paying the fee for storage and transmission of the music file since such methods were conventionally employed in the art for the system to provide users with storage area in the range of the contracted capacity to add (upload), delete, move and access (download) content (music file) stored in the assigned user area.

- 11. As to claim 6, Rhoads-Kurihara teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising uploading the music file from the first user prior to the storing (the user can store the file of his or her content to the user area 18) (Kurihara, paragraph [0044]).
- 12. As to claims 3 and 7-8, Rhoads-Kurihara teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising obtaining the music file from a commercial music source prior to the storing and paying a royalty for use of the music file (each user can purchase the file of content stored in the content library 11 and store the file of the purchased content to the user area 18 of the customer file storage 13) (Kurihara, paragraphs [0046] and [0057]).

Application/Control Number: 09/891,005

Art Unit: 2141

Page 6

- 13. Claims 11-14 are corresponding storage medium claims of method claims 4-7; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 14. Claims 17-20 are corresponding data center claims of method claims 4-7; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 15. Claim 29 is a corresponding combination method claim of method claims 1-5 and 7; therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale.
- 16. Claim 30 is a corresponding combination data center claim of method claims 1-7; therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2141

17. Applicant's arguments as well as request for reconsideration filed on 08/02/2005

have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

18. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2141

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)

272-3886.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

SPE, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the

organization is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER